Minimal Economic Perspectives – Is there a Political Critique of the Economy active?
By Shomit Sirohi

I. Return to Praxis – Algeria and Cuba, even China and India

So when the praxis developed economics is active – one argues praxis will put in command politics in the economy – it means that praxis will develop the economy. In history this line has never won, or always determined a economic and political crisis – recently though with Sirohi – the praxis question returns – in the sense of wage labour strikes and peasant strikes – along with mass line in China and Algerian class action and Cuban general will – all of this then begins even in Europe the return of Communist Parties.

This then re-configures the 50 billion dollar economics at the Potenza of a trillion – which then is praxis acting on the cinematic cultural revolution and even French and Spanish academics and architectural parallax which then is the nature of praxis and general will action developing a qualitative agenda – will the practical action of the masses then clinch the line – praxis – “Grasp politics, promote production.” This then is the difference between the 1 trillion at notional levels contracting to 30 billion and the practical action of the Party which then calculates at the level of Potenza.

II. Potenza – Abstract time and concrete time as Critique of Political Economy – From Postone to Sirohi

Then of course economics in Marx is about abstract labour, and its syllogism of wealth called the Grundrisse or even the development of Abstract time and temporality of bus rides in Dialectical Inquiry, all of this then is how economics is finally that constellation of “cultural economics” which means that though the underdeveloped economies are in crisis and stasis – the culture develops an abstract time economics and temporality of the series – where like India all developing and underdeveloped economies are culturally richer – their wealth being in fact culture, the series, and the bus.